Appendix 1a - Indicative Financial Year Effect of Proposed Revised 2016-17 Methodology																	
							1	Appelluix .	ta - Illulcative Fillaliciai Teal Eli	ect of Proposed Revised 20.	10-17 Wethodology						
		Present		Derivation of E -	NOMINAL SEN		Number of			Nominally add £73,721 to							
		Total	NOMINAL	AWPU Factor -	Budget after	Number of	Statements/EH	"New"		each budget at a flat rate	Finally remove the Lump Sum (not						
		NOMINAL	AWPU	arbitrary top-slice	top-slice	Haringey	·	NOTIONAL SEN		in order to deliberately	controlled by DfE guidelines) from	Proportionate	Variance in	Increased	Budget		
		SEN Element	Factor in	based on pupil	reduction. (D-		top-slice fund	Element 2		circumvent DfE Guidelines		Share of Haringey	Number of	Contribution	Adjustment		
School	Roll	2 Funding	Element 2	numbers.	E)	11	E.	Funding	Winners and losers!	and avoid triggering MFG.	previous column.	EH&CPs	EH&CPs	Required	required	Lump Sum Change	Net Change
Column	С	D	E	Ea	Eb	F	Fa	Fb	Fc	Fd		Н	ı	К	L	M	N
												F19/C19*(C5 to				L19/Number of	
Formula/Note:	2	3	3	3a	3b	4	4a	4b	4c			C17)	F-H	J-E	K+(-M)	Secondary Schools	L-M
Alexandra Park	1,098	£372,398	£142,059			£50	£237,087	£467,426	£95,028	£168,749	£95,028	·				•	£95,028
Fortismere	1,203	£287,025	£155,644	£129		£22	£104,318	£235,699	£51,326	£22,395	-			-£51,326	£22,395	-£73,721	-£51,326
Gladesmore	1,246	£601,186		£129		£44	£208,636	£648,614	£47,428	£121,149	-	34		· ·	•	-£73,721	£47,428
Greig City	863	£451,769	£111,655	£129	£340,114	£8	£37,934	£378,048	£73,721	£0	-£73,721	24	-16	-£73,721	-£0	-£73,721	
Harris Academy	66	£79,556	£15,331	£232	£64,225	£0	£0	£64,225	£15,331	£58,390		2	-2	-£15,331	£58,390	-£73,721	-£15,331
Heartlands	972	£492,333				£62	£293,987	£663,150	£170,817	£244,538					£244,538		£170,817
Highgate Wood	1,190	£437,557	£153,962			£23	£109,060	£392,655	£44,902	£28,819				-£44,902	£28,819		-£44,902
Hornsey School	811	£358,829	£104,927	£129	£253,902	£13	£61,642	£315,544	£43,285	£30,437	-£43,285	22	-9	-£43,285	£30,436	-£73,721	-£43,285
Nicola 1 1 2 2 2		001=00=	6406 555	-		525		0000 0==			2.2	ا	_				0.5 -5-
Northumberland Park	1,035	£617,298		£129		£26	£123,285	£606,675	£10,623	£63,098				-£10,623	£63,098		-£10,623
Park View	1,073	£604,113		£129		£23	£109,060	£574,348	£29,765	£43,956	-			-£29,765	£43,956		-£29,765
St Thomas More	677	£340,528	£87,590 £8,539			£6	£28,450	£281,388 £45,501	£59,140	£14,582	•		-13	233)110	£14,581		-£59,140
Tottenham UTC Woodside High	31 809	£44,557 £445,405		£275 £129		£2 £25	£9,483 £118,543	£45,501 £459,280	£944 £13,875	£74,666 £87,596		22	1	£944 £13,875	£74,665 £87,596		£944 £13,875
woouside nign	809	1445,405	£104,008	£129	1340,/3/	LZJ	1116,543	1439,280	113,8/5	107,590	115,8/5	22	3	113,8/5	187,596	-1/3,/21	113,8/5
	11 074	£5,132,554	£1 //1 /0C		£3,691,068	£304	£1,441,486	£5,132,554	£0	£958,375.08	<u> </u>	304	<u> </u>	£0	£958,373	-£958,373	£0
	11,074	13,134,334	L1,441,400		13,031,008	£30 4		13,132,334	10	[130,373.00	<u>I</u>	304	<u> </u>	ĽU	1330,3/3	-1330,3/3	ĹŰ

Notes:

 EH&CPs encompasses both pupils with plans and pupils with statements. £130.17

Average cost per pupil to produce £1,441,486 fund. Entirely arbitrary, no consultation on this rate but "fortuitously" allows the scheme to operate by, ultimately, matching the Lump Sum!

£5,132,554

The assumption here is - that every Statement/EHCP is fully funded, which is certainly not the case currently.

Take top slice fund and divide by 304.

What happens next is that these NOTIONAL and ARBITRARY the "usual" way would be a straightforward top-slice and redistribution, with genuine winners and losers, all transparent and clear. BUT simply PUNITIVE, based on the the losers still lose. or influencing parental

choice...

To create an additional fund

figures are turned into a reality. The DfE Guidelines mean that flawed. The methodology fails to the wishes of the Steering Group cannot be implemented. Instead we now notionally add £73,721 to reduce the worst deficit figure to 0. This figure just happens to match the Lump Sum! This provides the necessary uplift such that when the the stated motivation here is £73,721 is wiped out from all schools the winners still win and Statements/EHCPs these figures are

notion that some schools are
The harsh reality is that High Needs pupils disadvantaged by share" by allegedly controlling have been effectively robbed to support those who transferred compounding this iniquitous into a secondary school with a Statement/EHCP who have seen, methodology. sadly along with every other pupil in the country, their funding

£73,721

The logic behind these figures is the LEA **directs** pupils with outside the control of schools. Including Heartlands' figures, with a

This entire section is a wonderful piece of legerdemain! By creating an initial and notional "top slice" pot of £1,441,486 one set of winners and losers is acknowledge the IMPACT of parental produced. This would require just over £328,000 to be redistributed choice and the NAMING of schools at involving genuine budget cuts for 8 schools. This is outside DfE guidelines Secondary Transfer. Unless and until since this is genuine pupil funding generated by sensible formula. Instead the flat rate Lump Sum is taken from all schools, exactly matching the maximum budget cut required! The fund thus created is used to offset the budget cuts for all schools, except one, whilst ensuring that the full amount determined by the previous "notional" exercise comes to the winners in this exercise. In somehow not taking their "fair SEN with no statement/EHCP, late arrival in the country or EAL specialist unit, makes no sense further effect the very neediest children identified by well established criteria have been seriously disadvantaged to benefit those where a Statement/EHCP already gives them access to substantial additional funding.

Gives per pupil: (but note assumption above)

£4,741.73

Numbers 2015-16

3. School Budget Share Information 4. Number of Statements Summer

2. Funded Pupil

2015.